From quantum to classical instability in relativistic stars

André G. S. Landulfo,[1,*] William C. C. Lima,[2,†] George E. A. Matsas,[2,‡] and Daniel A. T. Vanzella[3,§]

[1] *Centro de Ciências Naturais e Humanas, Universidade Federal do ABC, Avenida dos Estados, 5001, CEP 09210-580, Bangu, Santo André, São Paulo, Brazil*

[2] *Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rua Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz, 271, Bloco II, CEP 01140-070, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil*

[3] *Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 369, CEP 13560-970, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil*

(Dated: January 9, 2015)

It has been shown that gravitational fields produced by realistic classical-matter distributions can force quantum vacuum fluctuations of some nonminimally coupled free scalar fields to undergo a phase of exponential growth. The consequences of this unstable phase for the background spacetime have not been addressed so far due to known difficulties concerning backreaction in semiclassical gravity. It seems reasonable to believe, however, that the quantum fluctuations will "classicalize" when they become large enough, after which backreaction can be treated in the general-relativistic context. Here we investigate the emergence of a classical regime out of the quantum field evolution during the unstable phase. By studying the appearance of classical correlations and loss of quantum coherence, we show that by the time backreaction becomes important the system already behaves classically. Consequently, the gravity-induced instability leads naturally to initial conditions for the eventual classical description of the backreaction. Our results give support to previous analyses which treat classically the instability of scalar fields in the spacetime of relativistic stars, regardless of whether the instability is triggered by classical or quantum perturbations.

PACS numbers: 04.40.Dg, 04.62.+v, 03.65.Yz

arXiv:1410.2274v3 [gr-qc] 8 Jan 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

The vacuum state of quantum fields harbors many interesting physical phenomena. In particular, the vacuum gravitates, meaning, according to general relativity, that it affects and is affected by the spacetime geometry. Although this fact leads to important consequences for cosmology and black hole physics, it normally produces only feeble effects at astrophysical scales. In contrast to this, it was argued in Ref. [1] that well behaved spacetimes curved by classical matter may induce vacuum fluctuations of some nonminimally coupled free scalar fields to go through a phase of exponential growth. This growth enhances the expectation value of the field energy-momentum tensor, eventually leading the vacuum to take over the system evolution. A concrete realization of this claim was given in Ref. [2], where the amplification of the vacuum fluctuations was studied in the spacetime of a relativistic star. The appearance of this instability in other astrophysically inspired scenarios was explored in Refs. [3, 4]. As the system is driven to a new equilibrium state, a burst of free scalar particles is expected, regardless of the details of the final configuration [5]. Nevertheless, the final configuration is important for astrophysical purposes. In order to determine it, one must take into account the backreaction of the quantum field on the spacetime. This is a highly nontrivial task due to the well known difficulties concerning the backreaction in semiclassical gravity.

Notwithstanding, it seems reasonable to believe that quantum fluctuations amplified enough to menace the stability of relativistic stars cannot remain "quantum" for too long. Thus, if the quantum phase ends before vacuum fluctuations dominate the system, we expect backreaction to be well described by the classical general-relativistic equations. With this scenario in mind, we investigate the quantum-to-classical transition of the quantum fluctuations in the vacuum state, showing that the system does classicalize prior semiclassical backreaction becomes paramount.

The transition of a quantum system to a regime in which its behavior is well approximated by classical physics is a matter that has received attention in different areas — see, e.g., Ref. [6]. For this quantum-to-classical transition to happen, two ingredients, normally related, are necessary: the appearance of certain classical correlations and the loss of quantum coherence. By classical correlations we mean that the corresponding Wigner function is peaked at the classical trajectories, while the loss of quantum coherence is necessary to forbid their superposition. The loss of quantum coherence, in particular, results from the entanglement of the system with other "unobservable" degrees of freedom which are eventually traced out. Thus, in order to study the emergence of a given classical behavior from a quantum system it is unavoidable to take into account its interaction with some set of additional degrees of freedom, generally referred to as "environment" [7]. Both the appearance of classical correlations and the process of decoherence in this

*Electronic address: andre.landulfo@ufabc.edu.br
†Electronic address: wccl@ift.unesp.br
‡Electronic address: matsas@ift.unesp.br
§Electronic address: vanzella@ifsc.usp.br

open system depend, in principle, on the system internal dynamics and its interaction with the environment. The form of the interaction is particularly important: it defines according to what observable the system will be regarded as classical [8].

Here, we are interested in the behavior of the unstable modes of the scalar field, since they dominate the vacuum fluctuations. We will show that for these modes the internal dynamics will be enough to produce classical correlations. The decoherence process needed to ensure the quantum-to-classical transition, as defined above, will depend on the interaction of the scalar field with gravity. The most natural environment to consider is the one formed by the quantum fluctuations of the background metric — gravitons. These will not be the only degrees of freedom of our environment, though. The coupling of the scalar field with gravity induces an interaction between the unstable and stable modes of the scalar field, making the latter ones also part of the environment. From this analysis we can estimate the time scale for the unstable modes to become classical with respect to their amplitude and canonically conjugate momentum. This time scale is of fundamental importance to determine whether backreaction may be treated in the classical rather than semiclassical realm.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we briefly revisit the quantization of an unstable free scalar field nonminimally coupled to gravity in the spacetime of a relativistic star. In Sec. III we focus on the sector of the Fock space related to the unstable modes and describe the evolution of the corresponding vacuum state through its Wigner function representation. It is shown that the field amplitude and its canonically conjugate momentum become classically correlated in a time scale comparable to the one set by when backreaction becomes important. Next, in Sec. IV we discuss the loss of coherence of the vacuum fluctuations. By integrating out the degrees of freedom of the gravitons and of the stable modes of the scalar field, we obtain a master equation for the density matrix describing the state of the unstable modes. The analysis of this master equation shows that by the time backreaction becomes important the initially pure vacuum state has already evolved into a mixture of localized states in field amplitude and momentum. We close the discussion and make our final remarks in Sec. V. Throughout the text we shall assume that $\hbar = c = 1$, and the signature $(-+++)$ for the spacetime metric.

II. GRAVITY-INDUCED INSTABILITY

We start by considering a real scalar field ϕ evolving over a globally hyperbolic spacetime background $(\mathcal{M}, g_{ab})$ curved by some classical-matter distribution. The field obeys the Klein-Gordon equation,

$$-\nabla_a\nabla^a\phi + (m^2 + \xi R)\phi = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $m \geq 0$ is the field mass, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ is the nonminimal coupling parameter, and R stands for the scalar curvature. The associated energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab} &= (1-2\xi)\nabla_a\phi\nabla_b\phi + \xi R_{ab}\phi^2 - 2\xi\phi\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi \\ &\quad + \left(2\xi - \frac{1}{2}\right) g_{ab}[\nabla_c\phi\nabla^c\phi + (m^2 + \xi R)\phi^2], \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where R_{ab} stands for the Ricci tensor.

We quantize the field ϕ according to the canonical procedure. Then, the field operator $\hat{\phi}$ can be expanded in terms of a complete set of positive- and negative-norm solutions $\{u_\alpha^{(+)}, u_\alpha^{(-)}\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}}$,

$$\hat{\phi} = \int d\vartheta(\alpha)[\hat{a}_\alpha u_\alpha^{(+)} + \hat{a}_\alpha{}^\dagger u_\alpha^{(-)}], \tag{3}$$

with $u_\alpha^{(+)}$ and $u_\alpha^{(-)} \equiv u_\alpha^{(+)*}$ orthonormalized according to the Klein-Gordon inner product. Here, $\mathcal{I}$ stands for some set of good quantum numbers, while ϑ denotes some measure over this set. As usual, the canonical commutation relations combined with the completeness of the modes imply that the creation and annihilation operators $\hat{a}_\alpha{}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_\alpha$, respectively, satisfy

$$[\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta{}^\dagger] = \delta_\vartheta(\alpha, \beta), \tag{4}$$

while other commutators vanish. The δ_ϑ denotes the delta distribution according to the measure ϑ, i.e., $\int d\vartheta(\alpha)\mathfrak{f}(\alpha)\delta_\vartheta(\alpha,\beta) = \mathfrak{f}(\beta)$. Finally, the vacuum state associated with the selected set of modes is defined by demanding $\hat{a}_\alpha|0\rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$.

Assuming that the background spacetime is curved by the presence of a static, spherically symmetric compact object, its metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = -f(dt^2 - d\chi^2) + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \tag{5}$$

where $f = f(\chi) > 0$ and $r = r(\chi) \geq 0$ are functions of the radial coordinate χ such that $\lim_{\chi\to+\infty} f(\chi) = 1$, $\lim_{\chi\to+\infty} r(\chi)/\chi = 1$, and $dr/d\chi > 0$. The last requirement prevents the existence of trapped surfaces. By using the symmetries of the underlying spacetime, it is possible to find a set of time-oscillating positive-norm solutions of Eq. (1) with the form

$$v_{\varpi l\mu}^{(+)}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{-i\varpi t}}{\sqrt{2\varpi}} \frac{\psi_{\varpi l}(\chi)}{r(\chi)} Y_{l\mu}(\theta, \varphi), \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ denotes the spatial coordinates, while $Y_{l\mu}$ stands for the spherical harmonics, with $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $\mu = -l, -l+1, \ldots, l$, and $\varpi > 0$. The radial part of $v_{\varpi l\mu}^{(+)}$ satisfies

$$-\frac{d^2}{d\chi^2}\psi_{\varpi l} + V_{\text{eff}}^{(l)}\psi_{\varpi l} = \varpi^2\psi_{\varpi l}, \tag{7}$$

vanishing at the origin and being well behaved at spatial infinity. For a star composed of perfect fluid, one can use

Einstein equations to cast the effective potential $V_{\rm eff}^{(l)}$ in Eq. (7) as

$$V_{\rm eff}^{(l)} = f\left[m^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6}\right)R + \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\bar{\rho} - \rho)\right], \tag{8}$$

where $\rho = \rho(\chi)$ denotes the energy density of the stellar fluid and

$$\bar{\rho}(\chi) \equiv \frac{3M(\chi)}{4\pi r^3(\chi)} \tag{9}$$

is the average density of the star up to the radial coordinate $r(\chi)$, which encompasses a mass $M(\chi)$.

Depending on (i) the values of the nonminimal coupling parameter ξ, (ii) the mass-radius ratio of the star, and (iii) its equation of state, the time-oscillating modes (6) may not be the only ones complying with the boundary conditions mentioned above. Indeed, the effective potential (8) allows the existence of "bound states" [2]. These solutions give rise to exponentially growing modes,

$$w^{(+)}_{\Omega l\mu}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{\Omega t - i\pi/4} + e^{-\Omega t + i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{4\Omega}}\frac{\psi_{\Omega l}(\chi)}{r(\chi)}Y_{l\mu}(\theta,\varphi), \tag{10}$$

for which the radial part obeys

$$-\frac{d^2}{d\chi^2}\psi_{\Omega l} + V_{\rm eff}^{(l)}\psi_{\Omega l} = -\Omega^2\psi_{\Omega l}, \tag{11}$$

with $\Omega > 0$ and the form of the temporal part was chosen to ensure the positivity of the $w^{(+)}_{\Omega l\mu}$ norm [9].

For the sake of simplicity, we shall assume hereafter the existence of a single unstable mode. Since the centrifugal term in Eq. (8) contributes positively to the effective potential, this mode will have angular momentum quantum numbers $l = \mu = 0$ and will be denoted simply by $w^{(+)}_{\Omega}$ and its radial part by ψ_Ω/r. The spatial part of the stable and unstable modes will be denoted by $F_{\varpi l\mu}$ and F_Ω, respectively, i.e., $F_{\varpi l\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \psi_{\varpi l}Y_{l\mu}/r$ and $F_\Omega(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \psi_\Omega Y_{00}/r$. We shall denote by $\hat{a}_{\varpi l\mu}{}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_{\varpi l\mu}$ the creation and annihilation operators defined by the modes $v^{(+)}_{\varpi l\mu}$ and by $\hat{a}_\Omega{}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_\Omega$ the same operators defined by the mode $w^{(+)}_\Omega$.

Let us consider the situation in which the system begins in a stationary stable phase in the past and evolves into an unstable one in a time scale much smaller than any other present in the problem. Assuming that the quantum field is in the vacuum state with respect to the stationary past observers, it is possible to show that the initially quiescent quantum vacuum fluctuations will grow as $\langle\hat{\phi}^2\rangle \propto e^{2\Omega t}$ during the unstable phase. The exponential enhancement of the quantum fluctuations impacts on the (renormalized) expectation value of the energy-momentum tensor operator, $\langle\hat{T}_{ab}\rangle$, eventually leading the quantum field to backreact on the spacetime [1]. In order to estimate how long it takes for the quantum fluctuations to threaten the star stability, we first note that the existence of the unstable (bound) solutions typically requires potentials satisfying $\sup|V_{\rm eff}^{(0)}|\mathsf{R}^2 \sim 1$, in which case $\Omega^2 \sim \sup|V_{\rm eff}^{(0)}| \sim \mathsf{R}^{-2}$, where R denotes the radial coordinate r of the star surface — see discussion in Sec. III of Ref. [5]. By calculating, e.g., the ratio between the vacuum and stellar energy densities,

$$\frac{\rho_{\rm v}}{\rho} \sim \left(\frac{\ell_{\rm P}}{\mathsf{R}}\right)^2 \times \exp\left(2t/\mathsf{R}\right), \tag{12}$$

with $\ell_{\rm P}$ denoting the Planck length, one concludes that the backreaction time scale dictated by the semiclassical Einstein equations is $t_{\rm br} \sim \mathsf{R}\ln(\mathsf{R}/\ell_{\rm P})$, which is of the order of a few milliseconds for a neutron star [2] — for a more comprehensive account on this vacuum awakening effect, see Ref. [5], and Refs. [10, 11] for a rigorous discussion on the quantization of unstable linear fields in globally hyperbolic spacetimes.

III. FREE FIELD EVOLUTION AND THE APPEARANCE OF CLASSICAL CORRELATIONS

In a static spacetime, like the one engendered by the relativistic star considered above, the Hamiltonian operator can be formally defined from the energy-momentum tensor as

$$\hat{H} \equiv \int_\Sigma d\Sigma n^a \varkappa^b \hat{T}_{ab}. \tag{13}$$

Here, $\varkappa^a = (\partial_t)^a$ is the Killing vector field generating the time isometry, n^a is a future-pointing unit vector field orthogonal to the Cauchy surface Σ, and $d\Sigma \equiv \sqrt{h}d^3x$ is the volume element with respect to the spatial metric tensor h_{ab}, with $h \equiv \det h_{ab}$. Using the expansion (3) in terms of the modes $v^{(+)}_{\varpi l\mu}$ and $w^{(+)}_\Omega$, we obtain from Eq. (13) that

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\rm s} + \hat{H}_{\rm u}. \tag{14}$$

In Eq. (14) the Hamiltonian operator associated to the unstable mode $w^{(+)}_\Omega$ is given by

$$\hat{H}_{\rm u} = -\frac{\Omega}{2}(\hat{a}_\Omega\hat{a}_\Omega + \hat{a}_\Omega{}^\dagger\hat{a}_\Omega{}^\dagger), \tag{15}$$

which corresponds to the Hamiltonian of an upside-down harmonic oscillator, while $\hat{H}_{\rm s}$ is the Hamiltonian operator related to the stable modes $v^{(+)}_{\varpi l\mu}$ and consists of a collection of harmonic oscillators. Hence, we shall revisit the quantum upside-down harmonic oscillator in the light of our problem.

By defining the operators

$$\hat{q}_\Omega \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Omega}}(\hat{a}_\Omega + \hat{a}_\Omega{}^\dagger) \tag{16}$$

and

$$\hat{p}_\Omega \equiv -i\sqrt{\frac{\Omega}{2}}(\hat{a}_\Omega - \hat{a}_\Omega{}^\dagger), \tag{17}$$

Eq. (15) can be cast as

$$\hat{H}_{\rm u} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{p}_\Omega^2 - \frac{\Omega^2}{2}\hat{q}_\Omega^2.$$

The operators (16) and (17) are related to the field operator $\hat{\phi}$ and its time derivative according to

$$\hat{q}_\Omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}\int_0^{+\infty} r d\chi \int_0^{\pi} \sin\theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \hat{\phi}(0,\mathbf{x})\psi_\Omega(\chi)$$

and

$$\hat{p}_\Omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}\int_0^{+\infty} r d\chi \int_0^{\pi} \sin\theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \partial_t\hat{\phi}(0,\mathbf{x})\psi_\Omega(\chi).$$

In order to obtain these expressions, we have used that ψ_Ω can be chosen to be a real function satisfying

$$\int_0^{+\infty} d\chi \psi_\Omega(\chi)^2 = 1$$

and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} d\chi \psi_\Omega(\chi)\psi_{\varpi 00}(\chi) = 0,$$

for all $\varpi > 0$, and the orthogonality between the spherical harmonics. It will be with respect to the observables $\hat{p}_\Omega$ and $\hat{q}_\Omega$ that we shall investigate the classicalization of the unstable mode.

Next, we note that in this fixed-background regime the modes are decoupled and evolve independently. Thus, it is possible to write the vacuum state of the unstable quantum field as the following tensor product:

$$|0\rangle = |0_{\rm s}\rangle \otimes |0_{\rm u}\rangle, \tag{18}$$

where $|0_{\rm s}\rangle$ and $|0_{\rm u}\rangle$ are defined by $\hat{a}_{\varpi l\mu}|0_{\rm s}\rangle = 0$, for all ϖ, l and μ, and $\hat{a}_\Omega|0_{\rm u}\rangle = 0$. Therefore, one can separate the Fock space in its stable and unstable sectors and study their time evolution separately — see, e.g., Ref. [10].

In what follows we will focus the discussion on the evolution of the state $|0_{\rm u}\rangle$. For this end, let us define $|\eta(t)\rangle \equiv \hat{U}(t)|0_{\rm u}\rangle$, with the evolution operator

$$\hat{U}(t) \equiv e^{-it\hat{H}_{\rm u}}. \tag{19}$$

Thus, the fact that $\hat{a}_\Omega|0_{\rm u}\rangle = 0$ implies

$$\hat{U}(t)\hat{a}_\Omega\hat{U}^\dagger(t)|\eta(t)\rangle = 0.$$

Then, by using the identity

$$\hat{U}(t)\hat{a}_\Omega\hat{U}^\dagger(t) = \hat{a}_\Omega\cosh\Omega t - i\hat{a}_\Omega{}^\dagger\sinh\Omega t$$

and the definitions given in Eqs. (16) and (17), one arrives at

$$\hat{p}_\Omega|\eta(t)\rangle = \alpha(t)\hat{q}_\Omega|\eta(t)\rangle, \tag{20}$$

where the function $\alpha(t)$ is conveniently written as $\alpha(t) = i[a(t) + ib(t)]$ with

$$a(t) \equiv \frac{\Omega}{\cosh 2\Omega t} \tag{21}$$

and

$$b(t) \equiv -\Omega\tanh 2\Omega t. \tag{22}$$

Finally, by solving Eq. (20) in the representation of the eigenstates of $\hat{q}_\Omega$, i.e., solving for $\eta(t,q) \equiv \langle q|\eta(t)\rangle$, one has

$$\eta(t,q) = \left(\frac{a(t)}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} e^{i\alpha(t)q^2/2}. \tag{23}$$

The wave function (23) is known in the literature as the squeezed vacuum state. The evolution operator defined in Eq. (19) is the squeeze operator $\hat{S}(s,\beta) \equiv \exp\{-s(e^{-i2\beta}\hat{a}_\Omega\hat{a}_\Omega - e^{i2\beta}\hat{a}_\Omega{}^\dagger\hat{a}_\Omega{}^\dagger)/2\}$, with the squeezing parameter $s = \Omega t$ and the squeezing angle $\beta = -\pi/4$. For a detailed account on the properties of these states, see, e.g., Refs. [12, 13].

A useful tool to analyze the classicalization of a quantum system is the Wigner function. Given a general state represented by the density matrix $\hat{\varrho}$, the associated Wigner function is defined by [14]

$$W(t,q,p) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \varrho(t, q-y/2, q+y/2)e^{ipy}, \tag{24}$$

with $\varrho(t,q,q') \equiv \langle q|\hat{\varrho}(t)|q'\rangle$. From Eq. (24), one sees that W is a real function, but not necessarily positive. Moreover, if $\hat{\varrho} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp W(q,p) = |\psi(q)|^2$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq W(q,p) = |\tilde{\psi}(p)|^2,$$

with $\tilde{\psi}(p)$ being the Fourier transform of $\psi(q) \equiv \langle q|\psi\rangle$.

In the case of an upside-down harmonic oscillator, W obeys

$$\partial_t W(t,q,p) = \{H(q,p), W(t,q,p)\}, \tag{25}$$

where $\{\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\}$ denotes the Poisson bracket and $H(q,p)$ is the classical Hamiltonian

$$H(q,p) \equiv \frac{1}{2}p^2 - \frac{\Omega^2}{2}q^2. \tag{26}$$

We remark that Eq. (25) coincides with the Liouville equation for the classical system defined by the Hamiltonian (26). (We emphasize that this result holds only for quadratic potentials.) In order to analyze Eq. (25), it is useful to rewrite it in terms of the variables

$$u \equiv \frac{p - \Omega q}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad v \equiv \frac{p + \Omega q}{2}, \tag{27}$$

which leads to

$$\partial_t W = \Omega(u\partial_u - v\partial_v)W. \tag{28}$$

For localized states, Eq. (28) tends to exponentially stretch the Wigner function along the v direction and exponentially squeeze it along the u direction. This behavior just reflects the structure of the classical phase space of the upside-down harmonic oscillator. The orbits of the Hamiltonian (26) are hyperbolas with asymptotes at the lines $u = 0$ and $v = 0$, while $u = v = 0$ is a saddle point. Physically, this means that the particles are generally pushed away from the origin by the potential.

In our particular case, we have from Eq. (23) that the density matrix of the squeezed vacuum is

$$\varrho(t, q, q') = \sqrt{\frac{a(t)}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}a(t)(q^2+q'^2)-\frac{i}{2}b(t)(q^2-q'^2)} \tag{29}$$

and, thus, the corresponding Wigner function gives

$$W(t, q, p) = \frac{1}{\pi} \exp\left\{-a(t)q^2 - \frac{[p + b(t)q]^2}{a(t)}\right\}. \tag{30}$$

In the limit $\Omega t \gg 1$, one has from Eqs. (21) and (22) that $a \approx 2\Omega e^{-2\Omega t}$ and $b \approx -\Omega$. Hence, the state $|0_{\rm u}\rangle$ evolves into a highly squeezed state and Eq. (30) reduces to

$$W(t, q, p) \overset{\Omega t \gg 1}{\approx} |\eta(t, q)|^2 \delta(p - \Omega q), \tag{31}$$

where δ denotes the usual delta distribution. As time goes by, the Wigner function (30) becomes negligibly small away from the classical trajectory $u = 0$. This shows that when $\Omega t \gg 1$, the possible values for the amplitude (proportional to q) and momentum (proportional to p) of the unstable mode are correlated along a classical trajectory in the phase space.

The expression for W given in Eq. (30) is positive, a fact that holds for any Wigner function associated with a Gaussian state. Moreover, from Eq. (24), W also satisfies $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dqdpW = 1$. Thus, it can be seen as a probability distribution over the classical phase space of the system. This interpretation, combined with the appearance of classical correlations, is sometimes regarded as a kind of quantum-to-classical transition. In cosmology, for instance, it can account for some of the features of the cosmic microwave background inhomogeneities [15–17], which can be traced back to the quantum fluctuations present in the inflationary epoch [18, 19].

Notwithstanding, there are some reasons why one should regard this kind of quantum-to-classical transition as being incomplete. For instance, looking at Eq. (29) one sees that while W becomes peaked at a classical trajectory, the pure state $\hat{\varrho}$ turns more delocalized in both q and p representations. Besides, W cannot be interpreted as a probability distribution in general. (As remarked above, the Wigner function can assume negative values, a fact directly related to interference.) Fortunately, these difficulties can be overcome if one takes into account decoherence effects. In inflationary cosmology, a more comprehensive understanding of the quantum-to-classical transition including decoherence was tackled, e.g., in Refs. [20–25].

IV. DECOHERENCE AND THE EMERGENCE OF CLASSICAL INITIAL CONDITIONS

In order to complete the picture of the quantum-to-classical transition, we shall analyze the loss of quantum coherence by the scalar field during the unstable phase. As anticipated, we shall study the decoherence process induced by the interaction between the quantum scalar field and quantum fluctuations of the gravitational field. To do so, we will apply standard perturbative quantum field theory techniques to gravity from the perspective of an effective field theory [26–28].

A. Environment

We start by considering the classical action

$$S = S_{\rm EH} + S_\Phi + S_{\rm M}. \tag{32}$$

The Einstein-Hilbert action is given by

$$S_{\rm EH}[g_{ab}] \equiv \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}R,$$

with $\kappa \equiv \sqrt{32\pi G}$ and $g \equiv \det g_{ab}$, the scalar field action is defined through

$$S_\Phi[\Phi, g_{ab}] \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}[\nabla_a\Phi\nabla^a\Phi + (m^2 + \xi R)\Phi^2],$$

and $S_{\rm M}[\Psi, g_{ab}]$ stands for the classical-matter action. We perturb this system by taking $g_{ab} \to g_{ab} + \kappa\gamma_{ab}$ and $\Phi \to \Phi + \phi$, while keeping the classical matter unperturbed, and expand the total action (32) up to second order in both γ_{ab} and ϕ. In what follows it will be assumed $\Phi = 0$. (Thus, ϕ is small in the sense that it can only induce small perturbations on the background metric.)

The expansion of the Einstein-Hilbert action gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm EH}[g_{ab} + \kappa\gamma_{ab}] \;=\; & \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{2}{\kappa^2}R + \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\rm EH}\right. \\ & \left. + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\rm EH} + \dots\right], \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\rm EH} \equiv -\frac{2}{\kappa}\left(R_{ab}-\frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab}\right)\gamma^{ab}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\rm EH} \;\equiv\; -\frac{1}{2}\Big[&\nabla^c\gamma^{ab}\nabla_c\gamma_{ab}-\nabla^c\gamma\nabla_c\gamma+2\nabla_a\gamma^{ab}\nabla_b\gamma\\&-2\nabla^c\gamma^{ab}\nabla_a\gamma_{bc}+R\left(\gamma^{ab}\gamma_{ab}-\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2\right)\\&-4R^{ab}\left(\gamma_a{}^c\gamma_{bc}-\frac{1}{2}\gamma\gamma_{ab}\right)\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where we have defined $\gamma \equiv g^{ab}\gamma_{ab}$. For the scalar field, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}S_\Phi[\phi, g_{ab}+\kappa\gamma_{ab}] \;=\; &\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}[\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_\Phi+\mathcal{L}^{(3)}_\Phi\\&+\mathcal{L}^{(4)}_\Phi+\ldots],\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_\Phi \equiv -\frac{1}{2}[\nabla_a\phi\nabla^a\phi+(m^2+\xi R)\phi^2], \tag{34}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(3)}_\Phi \equiv \frac{\kappa}{2}T_{ab}\gamma^{ab}, \tag{35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{(4)}_\Phi \;\equiv\; \frac{\kappa^2}{4}[&U_{abcd}\gamma^{ab}\gamma^{cd}+\xi(V_{abcde}\gamma^{ab}\nabla^c\gamma^{de}\\&+W_{abcdef}\nabla^a\gamma^{bc}\nabla^d\gamma^{ef})].\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The tensor T_{ab} appearing in Eq. (35) was defined in Eq. (2), while the expressions for the tensors U_{abcd}, V_{abcde}, and W_{abcdef} are presented in Eqs. (A1) - (A3) of the Appendix, respectively [29]. Similarly, the expansion of the classical-matter action can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\rm M}[\Psi, g_{ab}+\kappa\gamma_{ab}] \;=\; &\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}[\mathcal{L}^{(0)}_{\rm M}+\mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\rm M}\\&+\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\rm M}+\ldots],\end{aligned}$$

where the specific form of the terms inside the square brackets depends on the Lagrangian assumed for the system. The background spacetime in which the perturbations are defined will be given by the Einstein equations

$$R_{ab}-\frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab}=\frac{\kappa^2}{4}T^{\rm M}_{ab} \tag{37}$$

obtained from the zeroth-order action, where $T^{\rm M}_{ab}$ denotes the energy-momentum tensor of the classical matter. As for the perturbations, it is more convenient to write them in terms of the free scalar field, free graviton, and interaction actions S_ϕ, S_γ, and $S_{\rm int}$, respectively:

$$S_\phi[\phi] \equiv \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_\Phi, \tag{38}$$

$$S_\gamma[\gamma_{ab}] \equiv \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}[\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\rm EH}+\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\rm M}], \tag{39}$$

and

$$S_{\rm int}[\phi,\gamma_{ab}] \equiv \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}[\mathcal{L}^{(3)}_\Phi+\mathcal{L}^{(4)}_\Phi]. \tag{40}$$

For the gravitational perturbations, one would need also to specify a gauge to fix the dynamics. However, the analysis which we will undertake in the next sections dispenses a particular gauge choice. All we have to assume is that there is a gauge in which the graviton field equation admits stationary oscillatory modes. This assumption holds, for instance, in the case of a background spacetime curved by a static spherically symmetric star — see, e.g., Ref. [30].

If the nonminimally coupled free scalar field is destabilized by the curvature of the background spacetime — like in the case of the compact object discussed in Sec. II — the perturbation ϕ can be split into its stable and unstable parts,

$$\phi = \phi_{\rm s}+\phi_{\rm u}, \tag{41}$$

which are defined by

$$\phi_{\rm s}(t,\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum_{l\mu}\int d\varpi\,\phi_\varpi(t)F_{\varpi l\mu}(\mathbf{x})+{\rm c.c.} \tag{42}$$

and

$$\phi_{\rm u}(t,\mathbf{x}) \equiv \phi_\Omega(t)F_\Omega(\mathbf{x}). \tag{43}$$

By using the orthogonality relations

$$\int_\Sigma d\Sigma F_\Omega(\mathbf{x})^2 = 1,$$

$$\int_\Sigma d\Sigma F_{\varpi l\mu}(\mathbf{x})F_{\varpi' l'\mu'}(\mathbf{x})^* = \delta_{ll'}\delta_{\mu\mu'}\delta(\varpi-\varpi'),$$

and

$$\int_\Sigma d\Sigma F_\Omega(\mathbf{x})F_{\varpi l\mu}(\mathbf{x})^* = 0,$$

the free scalar field action (38) can be cast as

$$S_\phi[\phi] = S_\phi[\phi_{\rm s}]+S_\phi[\phi_{\rm u}]. \tag{44}$$

For the interaction action, Eq. (40), we observe that the tensors T_{ab}, U_{abcd}, V_{abcde}, and W_{abcdef} depend quadratically on ϕ and its derivatives. Hence, by employing the decomposition (41), the energy-momentum tensor can be written as

$$T_{ab} = T^{(\rm s)}_{ab}+T^{(\rm u)}_{ab}+t_{ab}, \tag{45}$$

where $T_{ab}^{(\mathrm{s/u})}$ corresponds to the tensor given in Eq. (2) calculated for $\phi_{\mathrm{s/u}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ab} \equiv\ & (1-2\xi)(\nabla_a\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\nabla_b\phi_{\mathrm{u}} + \nabla_a\phi_{\mathrm{u}}\nabla_b\phi_{\mathrm{s}}) \\ & +2\xi R_{ab}\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\phi_{\mathrm{u}} - 2\xi(\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi_{\mathrm{u}} \\ & +\phi_{\mathrm{u}}\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi_{\mathrm{s}}) + (4\xi-1)[\nabla_c\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\nabla^c\phi_{\mathrm{u}} \\ & +(m^2+\xi R)\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\phi_{\mathrm{u}}]g_{ab}. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

We note that, in contrast to the tensor $T_{ab}^{(\mathrm{u})}$, the expression given in Eq. (46) depends linearly on the amplitude of the field ϕ_{u} and its derivatives. As for the other three tensors, one has

$$U_{abcd} = U_{abcd}^{(\mathrm{s})} + U_{abcd}^{(\mathrm{u})} + u_{abcd},$$

$$V_{abcde} = V_{abcde}^{(\mathrm{s})} + V_{abcde}^{(\mathrm{u})} + v_{abcde},$$

and

$$W_{abcdef} = W_{abcdef}^{(\mathrm{s})} + W_{abcdef}^{(\mathrm{u})} + w_{abcdef}.$$

In these expressions, $U_{abcd}^{(\mathrm{s/u})}$, $V_{abcde}^{(\mathrm{s/u})}$, and $W_{abcdef}^{(\mathrm{s/u})}$ are tensors obtained from Eqs. (A1) - (A3) when one replaces ϕ by $\phi_{\mathrm{s/u}}$, while the form of u_{abcd}, v_{abcde}, and w_{abcdef} can be easily deduced from these equations and depend linearly on ϕ_{s} and on ϕ_{u} and its derivatives. We omit the expressions for these tensors, since they are long and will not contribute in the calculations that follow. This approach of splitting the quantum field into two sets of modes according to some scale was employed in Ref. [31] to study the decoherence of modes above a certain wavelength in a $\lambda\phi^4$ model, and it is a useful strategy to tackle the issue of the emergence of a classical order parameter in phase transitions [32–34].

In our setting, one does not expect quantum fluctuations of the metric and the stable modes of ϕ to have any relevant influence on the background spacetime during the unstable phase. They, however, are perceived by the unstable mode, becoming entangled with it due to the interaction (40) in the course of the time evolution.

B. Derivation of the master equation

Once one has defined the environment, it is possible to construct the master equation for the reduced density matrix. We start by assuming that at $t = 0$ the total density matrix of the system formed by the scalar perturbations and gravitons can be written as

$$\hat{\varrho}(0) = \hat{\varrho}_{\mathrm{s}}(0) \otimes \hat{\varrho}_{\mathrm{u}}(0) \otimes \hat{\varrho}_{\gamma}(0),$$

with $\hat{\varrho}_{\mathrm{s}}(0)$, $\hat{\varrho}_{\mathrm{u}}(0)$, and $\hat{\varrho}_{\gamma}(0)$ denoting the initial states of the stable modes, unstable mode, and gravitons, respectively. Thus, initially these subsystems are uncorrelated. In the field amplitude representation, the reduced density matrix for the unstable sector of the field at $t > 0$ is defined by tracing out the gravitons and stable degrees of freedom according to

$$\varrho_{\mathrm{red}}(t,\varphi_{\mathrm{u}},\varphi_{\mathrm{u}}') \equiv \int d\varphi_{\mathrm{s}} d\varsigma_{ab} \langle \varphi_{\mathrm{u}},\varphi_{\mathrm{s}},\varsigma_{ab}|\hat{\varrho}(t)|\varphi_{\mathrm{u}}',\varphi_{\mathrm{s}},\varsigma_{ab}\rangle. \tag{47}$$

Above, we have denoted by $|\varphi_{\mathrm{s}}\rangle$, $|\varphi_{\mathrm{u}}\rangle$, and $|\varsigma_{ab}\rangle$ the eigenstates of the field operators $\hat{\phi}_{\mathrm{s}}$, $\hat{\phi}_{\mathrm{u}}$, and $\hat{\gamma}_{ab}$, respectively, at $t = 0$. The time evolution of the reduced density matrix can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\mathrm{red}}(t,\varphi_{\mathrm{u}},\varphi_{\mathrm{u}}') =\ & \int d\psi_{\mathrm{u}} d\psi_{\mathrm{u}}' \varrho_{\mathrm{u}}(0,\psi_{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{\mathrm{u}}') \\ & \times J_{\mathrm{red}}(t,\varphi_{\mathrm{u}},\varphi_{\mathrm{u}}';0,\psi_{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{\mathrm{u}}'), \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

wherein $\varrho_{\mathrm{u}}(0,\psi_{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{\mathrm{u}}') \equiv \langle\psi_{\mathrm{u}}|\hat{\varrho}_{\mathrm{u}}(0)|\psi_{\mathrm{u}}'\rangle$ and J_{red} stands for the reduced propagator. Here, the reduced propagator is defined in terms of the following functional integral:

$$\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathrm{red}}(t,\varphi_{\mathrm{u}},\varphi_{\mathrm{u}}';0,\psi_{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{\mathrm{u}}') \equiv \\ & \int_{\psi_{\mathrm{u}}}^{\varphi_{\mathrm{u}}} \mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathrm{u}} \int_{\psi_{\mathrm{u}}'}^{\varphi_{\mathrm{u}}'} \mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathrm{u}}' e^{i\{S_\phi[\phi_{\mathrm{u}}]-S_\phi[\phi_{\mathrm{u}}']\}} F[\phi_{\mathrm{u}},\phi_{\mathrm{u}}']. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

In Eq. (49), F stands for the Feynman-Vernon influence functional [35] and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F[\phi_{\mathrm{u}},\phi_{\mathrm{u}}'] \equiv\ & \int d\varphi_{\mathrm{s}} \int d\psi_{\mathrm{s}} d\psi_{\mathrm{s}}' \varrho_{\mathrm{s}}(0,\psi_{\mathrm{s}},\psi_{\mathrm{s}}') \\ & \times \int_{\psi_{\mathrm{s}},\psi_{\mathrm{s}}'}^{\varphi_{\mathrm{s}}=\varphi_{\mathrm{s}}'} \mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathrm{s}} \mathcal{D}\phi_{\mathrm{s}}' e^{i\{S_\phi[\phi_{\mathrm{s}}]-S_\phi[\phi_{\mathrm{s}}']\}} \\ & \times \tilde{F}[\phi_{\mathrm{s}}+\phi_{\mathrm{u}},\phi_{\mathrm{s}}'+\phi_{\mathrm{u}}'], \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}[\phi,\phi'] \equiv\ & \int d\varsigma_{ab} \int d\xi_{ab} d\xi_{ab}' \varrho_\gamma(0,\xi_{ab},\xi_{ab}') \\ & \times \int_{\xi_{ab},\xi_{ab}'}^{\varsigma_{ab}=\varsigma_{ab}'} \mathcal{D}\gamma_{ab} \mathcal{D}\gamma_{ab}' e^{i\{S_\gamma[\gamma_{ab}]-S_\gamma[\gamma_{ab}']\}} \\ & \times e^{i\{S_{\mathrm{int}}[\phi,\gamma_{ab}]-S_{\mathrm{int}}[\phi',\gamma_{ab}']\}}. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

The assumption that initially the stable and unstable sectors of the quantum field are uncorrelated is necessary if one desires to employ the influence functional formalism. This absence of initial correlations would not be the case if the field, say, had evolved from the vacuum state defined by stationary observers in a previous stable phase. Nevertheless, initial correlations between the system and its environment are known to affect the dynamics set by the master equation only in its early stages — see, e.g., Refs. [36, 37]. This will not be an issue here since in what follows we will be concerned only with the system in its long-time regime.

Next, we assume that the density matrix $\hat{\varrho}_\gamma(0)$ corresponds to a thermal state at temperature T and that $\hat{\varrho}_{\mathrm{s}}(0) = |0_{\mathrm{s}}\rangle\langle 0_{\mathrm{s}}|$ [38]. By using the closed time path integral formalism [40, 41], we evaluate $\tilde{F}$ up to quadratic order in κ and obtain the following formal expression:

$$\tilde{F}[\phi,\phi'] = 1 - \frac{\kappa^2}{4}(I_1[\phi,\phi'] + iI_2[\phi,\phi']), \tag{52}$$

where

$$I_1[\phi,\phi'] \equiv \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\tau d\tau' \int_\Sigma d\Sigma d\Sigma' f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}') \{ \mathrm{Re}\langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(x)\hat{\gamma}^{cd}(x')\rangle_\beta [T_{ab}(x) - T'_{ab}(x)] \times [T_{cd}(x') - T'_{cd}(x')] + i\mathrm{Im}\langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(x)\hat{\gamma}^{cd}(x')\rangle_\beta [T_{ab}(x) - T'_{ab}(x)][T_{cd}(x') + T'_{cd}(x')]\}, \quad (53)$$

and

$$I_2[\phi,\phi'] \equiv -\int_0^t d\tau \int_\Sigma d\Sigma f(\mathbf{x}) \{ \langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(x)\hat{\gamma}^{cd}(x)\rangle_\beta [U_{abcd}(x) - U'_{abcd}(x)] + \xi \langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(x)\nabla^c\hat{\gamma}^{de}(x)\rangle_\beta [V_{abcde}(x) - V'_{abcde}(x)] + \xi \langle \nabla^a\hat{\gamma}^{bc}(x)\nabla^d\hat{\gamma}^{ef}(x)\rangle_\beta [W_{abcdef}(x) - W'_{abcdef}(x)]\}. \quad (54)$$

In Eqs. (53) and (54), $\langle \dots \rangle_\beta \equiv \mathrm{tr}\{\hat{\varrho}_\gamma(0)\dots\}$ is the thermal average and the tensors T_{ab}, U_{abcd}, V_{abcde}, and W_{abcdef} — given in Eqs. (2) and (A1)-(A3) — are calculated for ϕ, while T'_{ab}, U'_{abcd}, V'_{abcde}, and W'_{abcdef} are calculated for ϕ'. The functional I_2 is clearly divergent and must be absorbed into the bare parameters of the free scalar field action, Eq. (38). We shall not delve into the question of what corrections this procedure may introduce here, since it does not contribute to decoherence effects — for a discussion on the quantum corrections induced by a thermal bath of gravitons in flat spacetime, see, e.g., Ref. [27]. Thus, we are left with

$$\tilde{F}[\phi,\phi'] = 1 - \frac{\kappa^2}{4} I_1[\phi,\phi']. \quad (55)$$

Then, by substituting Eq. (55) into Eq. (50) and using Eq. (45), one obtains

$$F[\phi_\mathrm{u},\phi_\mathrm{u}'] = 1 - \frac{\kappa^2}{4}(G_1[\phi_\mathrm{u},\phi_\mathrm{u}'] + G_2[\phi_\mathrm{u},\phi_\mathrm{u}'] + G_3[\phi_\mathrm{u},\phi_\mathrm{u}']), \quad (56)$$

with

$$G_1[\phi_\mathrm{u},\phi_\mathrm{u}'] \equiv 2i \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\tau d\tau' \int_\Sigma d\Sigma d\Sigma' f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}') \mathrm{Im}\langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(x)\hat{\gamma}^{cd}(x')\rangle_\beta \langle \hat{T}^{(\mathrm{s})}_{cd}(x')\rangle_0 [T^{(\mathrm{u})}_{ab}(x) - T^{(\mathrm{u})\prime}_{ab}(x)], \quad (57)$$

$$G_2[\phi_\mathrm{u},\phi_\mathrm{u}'] \equiv \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\tau d\tau' \int_\Sigma d\Sigma d\Sigma' f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}') \{ \mathrm{Re}\langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(x)\hat{\gamma}^{cd}(x')\rangle_\beta [T^{(\mathrm{u})}_{ab}(x) - T^{(\mathrm{u})\prime}_{ab}(x)][T^{(\mathrm{u})}_{cd}(x') - T^{(\mathrm{u})\prime}_{cd}(x')] + i\mathrm{Im}\langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(x)\hat{\gamma}^{cd}(x')\rangle_\beta [T^{(\mathrm{u})}_{ab}(x) - T^{(\mathrm{u})\prime}_{ab}(x)][T^{(\mathrm{u})}_{cd}(x') + T^{(\mathrm{u})\prime}_{cd}(x')]\}, \quad (58)$$

and

$$G_3[\phi_\mathrm{u},\phi_\mathrm{u}'] \equiv \int d\varphi_\mathrm{s} \int d\psi_\mathrm{s} d\psi_\mathrm{s}' \varrho_\mathrm{s}(0,\psi_\mathrm{s},\psi_\mathrm{s}') \int_{\psi_\mathrm{s},\psi_\mathrm{s}'}^{\varphi_\mathrm{s}=\varphi_\mathrm{s}'} \mathcal{D}\phi_\mathrm{s} \mathcal{D}\phi_\mathrm{s}' e^{i\{S_\phi[\phi_\mathrm{s}] - S_\phi[\phi_\mathrm{s}']\}} \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\tau d\tau' \int_\Sigma d\Sigma d\Sigma' f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}') \times \{ \mathrm{Re}\langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(x)\hat{\gamma}^{cd}(x')\rangle_\beta [t_{ab}(x) - t'_{ab}(x)][t_{cd}(x') - t'_{cd}(x')] + i\mathrm{Im}\langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(x)\hat{\gamma}^{cd}(x')\rangle_\beta [t_{ab}(x) - t'_{ab}(x)] \times [t_{cd}(x') + t'_{cd}(x')]\}. \quad (59)$$

In Eq. (57), $\langle \hat{T}^{(\mathrm{s})}_{ab}\rangle_0$ stands for the renormalized expectation value in the state $|0_\mathrm{s}\rangle$ of the energy-momentum tensor operator associated with $\hat{\phi}_\mathrm{s}$. As for the tensors $T^{(\mathrm{u})}_{ab}$ and t_{ab} in Eqs. (57) - (59), they are constructed from ϕ_u and ϕ_s, while $T^{(\mathrm{u})\prime}_{ab}$ and t'_{ab} are constructed from ϕ_u' and ϕ_s'.

The terms in the influence functional (56) responsible for decoherence effects and damping are those given in Eqs. (58) and (59). (Of course, these functionals depend on the specific interaction of the unstable mode with its environment.) While the former comes from the interaction of the unstable mode with gravitons through its energy-momentum tensor, the latter is a consequence of the interaction of the unstable mode with the whole environment via its amplitude and derivatives. Consequently, one expects that under the influence of the functional (58), the density matrix will tend to evolve into a mix-

ture of states which bear some relation with the energy-momentum tensor operator. The functional (59), on the other hand, will tend to diagonalize the density matrix in the basis of localized states in amplitude and momentum of the unstable mode. The set of states in which the density matrix becomes diagonal is known in the literature as "pointer states." Pointer states are those states less affected by the environment; i.e., they are the states less willing to evolve into an entangled state with the environment [6, 8]. The implications of the terms in Eq. (58) were recently investigated in Ref. [39] for the case of a flat spacetime background. There it was shown that in the nonrelativistic regime the density matrix tends to become diagonal in the energy basis — see also Ref. [42]. Here, however, we will be concerned with the decoherence effects introduced by terms in Eq. (59) in the full relativistic curved spacetime regime.

In order to obtain the master equation for the density matrix (47), we need to calculate the time derivative of the reduced propagator $J_{\rm red}$. The form of the propagator can be computed by applying the saddle point approximation to the functional integral in Eq. (49). In this approximation, one has for $J_{\rm red}$ that

$$J_{\rm red}(t,\varphi_{\rm u},\varphi_{\rm u}';0,\psi_{\rm u},\psi_{\rm u}') \approx \exp\{iA[\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl},\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl\prime}]\}, \qquad (60)$$

with the total effective action

$$A[\phi_{\rm u},\phi_{\rm u}'] \equiv S_\phi[\phi_{\rm u}] - S_\phi[\phi_{\rm u}'] + S_{\rm IF}[\phi_{\rm u},\phi_{\rm u}'],$$

and the influence action $S_{\rm IF}$ being implicitly defined through $F[\phi_{\rm u},\phi_{\rm u}'] = \exp\{iS_{\rm IF}[\phi_{\rm u},\phi_{\rm u}']\}$. Above, $\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl}$ and $\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl\prime}$ are solutions of the equation of motion,

$$\left.\frac{\delta {\rm Re}A}{\delta\phi_{\rm u}}\right|_{\phi_{\rm u}'=\phi_{\rm u}} = 0,$$

satisfying the conditions $\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl}(0,\mathbf{x}) = \psi_{\rm u}(\mathbf{x})$, $\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_{\rm u}(\mathbf{x})$, $\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl\prime}(0,\mathbf{x}) = \psi_{\rm u}{}'(\mathbf{x})$, and $\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl\prime}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_{\rm u}{}'(\mathbf{x})$.

At this point, it is clear that decoherence will be induced by terms in the propagator $J_{\rm red}$ which are of order κ^2. Consequently, one can approximate $\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl}$ and $\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl\prime}$ by unstable solutions of the free scalar field equation with the appropriate conditions at the initial and final instants. Thus, the classical solutions will have the form

$$\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl}(\tau,\mathbf{x}) = \phi_\Omega^{\rm cl}(\tau)F_\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \qquad (61)$$

with

$$\phi_\Omega^{\rm cl}(\tau) \equiv q_0\frac{\sinh\Omega(t-\tau)}{\sinh\Omega t} + q\frac{\sinh\Omega\tau}{\sinh\Omega t}. \qquad (62)$$

Now, inserting Eq. (61) into the action (38), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} S_\phi[\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl}] &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t d\tau[(\dot\phi_\Omega^{\rm cl})^2 + \Omega^2(\phi_\Omega^{\rm cl})^2] \\ &= \frac{\Omega}{2\sinh\Omega t}[(q_0^2+q^2)\cosh\Omega t - 2q_0q], \end{aligned} \qquad (63)$$

with the second equality above coming from Eq. (62). As for the tensors appearing in Eqs. (57) - (59), we shall denote by $T_{ab}^{\rm cl}$ and $t_{ab}^{\rm cl}$ the field energy-momentum tensor and the tensor given in Eq. (46), respectively, when calculated for $\phi_{\rm u}^{\rm cl}$. By employing Eq. (61), the expression for $t_{ab}^{\rm cl}$ can be cast as

$$t_{ab}^{\rm cl} = r_{ab}^{(1)}\dot\phi_\Omega^{\rm cl} + r_{ab}^{(2)}\phi_\Omega^{\rm cl}, \qquad (64)$$

where

$$r_{ab}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{F_\Omega}{\sqrt{f}}\{[n_an_b + (1-4\xi)h_{ab}]n^c\nabla_c\phi_{\rm s} + 2(2\xi-1)n_{(a}D_{b)}\phi_{\rm s} - 4\xi n_{(a}a_{b)}\phi_{\rm s}\} + 4\xi\frac{\phi_{\rm s}}{\sqrt{f}}n_{(a}D_{b)}F_\Omega, \qquad (65)$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ab}^{(2)} \equiv\ & n_an_b\{(1-4\xi)D^cF_\Omega D_c\phi_{\rm s} + (m^2+\xi R)F_\Omega\phi_{\rm s} + 2\xi(D_ca^c + a_ca^c)F_\Omega\phi_{\rm s} - 2\xi F_\Omega D_cD^c\phi_{\rm s} \\ & -2\xi D_cD^cF_\Omega\phi_{\rm s}\} - 2(1-2\xi)n_{(a}D_{b)}F_\Omega n^c\nabla_c\phi_{\rm s} + 4\xi F_\Omega n_{(a}D_{b)}(n^c\nabla_c\phi_{\rm s}) + 2(1-2\xi)D_{(a}F_\Omega D_{b)}\phi_{\rm s} \\ & -(1-4\xi)h_{ab}[D_cF_\Omega D^c\phi_{\rm s} + (m^2+\xi R)F_\Omega\phi_{\rm s}] - 2\xi(F_\Omega D_aD_b\phi_{\rm s} + D_aD_bF_\Omega\phi_{\rm s}) \\ & +2\xi[{}^{(3)}R_{ab} - (D_aa_b + a_aa_b)]F_\Omega\phi_{\rm s}, \end{aligned} \qquad (66)$$

and we recall that f was defined in Eq. (5) and $n^a = f^{-1/2}(\partial_t)^a$. In Eqs. (65) and (66), $a^a \equiv n^c\nabla_cn^a$ is the acceleration of the observers following the orbits of the timelike Killing vector field, D_a is the derivative operator associated with the spatial metric h_{ab}, and ${}^{(3)}R_{ab}$ denotes the Ricci tensor of the spatial section. Finally, by combining Eqs. (56) and (60) and then using Eqs. (63) and (64), one obtains an expression for $J_{\rm red}$.

For the calculation of the reduced propagator time derivative in the saddle point approximation, it is useful to define the operators $\hat P$ and $\hat Q$ as

$$\hat P(\tau) \equiv \int_\Sigma d\Sigma f(\mathbf{x})\hat\gamma^{ab}(\tau,\mathbf{x})\hat r_{ab}^{(1)}(\tau,\mathbf{x}) \qquad (67)$$

and

$$\hat{Q}(\tau) \equiv \int_\Sigma d\Sigma f(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(\tau,\mathbf{x}) \hat{r}^{(2)}_{ab}(\tau,\mathbf{x}), \tag{68}$$

where $\hat{r}^{(1)}_{ab}$ and $\hat{r}^{(2)}_{ab}$ are obtained from Eqs. (65) and (66) by replacing $\phi_{\rm s}$ by the field operator $\hat{\phi}_{\rm s}$. Then, the time derivative of the reduced propagator can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t J_{\rm red} \;=\; & \partial_t J_0 + \Bigg\{ 2i \int_0^t d\tau' \int_\Sigma d\Sigma d\Sigma' f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}') D^{abcd}(t,\mathbf{x};\tau',\mathbf{x}') \langle \hat{T}^{(\rm s)}_{cd}(\tau',\mathbf{x}') \rangle_0 [T^{\rm cl}_{ab}(t,\mathbf{x}) - T^{\rm cl\prime}_{ab}(t,\mathbf{x})] \\
& - \int_0^t d\tau' \int_\Sigma d\Sigma d\Sigma' f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}') \{ N^{abcd}(t,\mathbf{x};\tau',\mathbf{x}') [T^{\rm cl}_{ab}(t,\mathbf{x}) - T^{\rm cl\prime}_{ab}(t,\mathbf{x})][T^{\rm cl}_{cd}(\tau',\mathbf{x}') - T^{\rm cl\prime}_{cd}(\tau',\mathbf{x}')] \\
& - i D^{abcd}(t,\mathbf{x};\tau',\mathbf{x}') [T^{\rm cl}_{ab}(t,\mathbf{x}) - T^{\rm cl\prime}_{ab}(t,\mathbf{x})][T^{\rm cl}_{cd}(\tau',\mathbf{x}') + T^{\rm cl\prime}_{cd}(\tau',\mathbf{x}')] \} - \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \int_0^t d\tau' \{ {\rm Re}\langle \hat{Q}(t) \hat{Q}(\tau') \rangle \\
& \times [\phi^{\rm cl}_\Omega(t) - \phi^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(t)][\phi^{\rm cl}_\Omega(\tau') - \phi^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(\tau')] + {\rm Re}\langle \hat{P}(t) \hat{P}(\tau') \rangle [\dot{\phi}^{\rm cl}_\Omega(t) - \dot{\phi}^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(t)][\dot{\phi}^{\rm cl}_\Omega(\tau') - \dot{\phi}^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(\tau')] \\
& + {\rm Re}\langle \hat{Q}(t) \hat{P}(\tau') \rangle [\phi^{\rm cl}_\Omega(t) - \phi^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(t)][\dot{\phi}^{\rm cl}_\Omega(\tau') - \dot{\phi}^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(\tau')] + {\rm Re}\langle \hat{P}(t) \hat{Q}(\tau') \rangle [\dot{\phi}^{\rm cl}_\Omega(t) - \dot{\phi}^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(t)][\phi^{\rm cl}_\Omega(\tau') - \phi^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(\tau')] \\
& + i {\rm Im}\langle \hat{Q}(t) \hat{Q}(\tau') \rangle [\phi^{\rm cl}_\Omega(t) - \phi^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(t)][\phi^{\rm cl}_\Omega(\tau') + \phi^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(\tau')] + i {\rm Im}\langle \hat{P}(t) \hat{P}(\tau') \rangle [\dot{\phi}^{\rm cl}_\Omega(t) - \dot{\phi}^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(t)][\dot{\phi}^{\rm cl}_\Omega(\tau') + \dot{\phi}^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(\tau')] \\
& + i {\rm Im}\langle \hat{Q}(t) \hat{P}(\tau') \rangle [\phi^{\rm cl}_\Omega(t) - \phi^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(t)][\dot{\phi}^{\rm cl}_\Omega(\tau') + \dot{\phi}^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(\tau')] + i {\rm Im}\langle \hat{P}(t) \hat{Q}(\tau') \rangle [\dot{\phi}^{\rm cl}_\Omega(t) - \dot{\phi}^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(t)][\phi^{\rm cl}_\Omega(\tau') + \phi^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(\tau')] \} \Bigg\} \\
& \times J_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

with

$$N^{abcd}(\tau,\mathbf{x};\tau',\mathbf{x}) \equiv \frac{\kappa^2}{4} {\rm Re}\langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(\tau,\mathbf{x}) \hat{\gamma}^{cd}(\tau',\mathbf{x}') \rangle_\beta$$

and

$$D^{abcd}(\tau,\mathbf{x};\tau',\mathbf{x}) \equiv -\frac{\kappa^2}{4} {\rm Im}\langle \hat{\gamma}^{ab}(\tau,\mathbf{x}) \hat{\gamma}^{cd}(\tau',\mathbf{x}') \rangle_\beta,$$

while $\langle \dots \rangle \equiv {\rm tr}\{ \hat{\varrho}_{\rm s}(0) \otimes \hat{\varrho}_\gamma(0) \dots \}$ and J_0 denotes the free propagator for the unstable mode. The free propagator is defined by

$$J_0(t,\varphi_{\rm u},\varphi_{\rm u}';0,\psi_{\rm u},\psi_{\rm u}') \equiv \int_{\psi_{\rm u}}^{\varphi_{\rm u}} \mathcal{D}\phi_{\rm u} \int_{\psi_{\rm u}'}^{\varphi_{\rm u}'} \mathcal{D}\phi_{\rm u}' e^{i\{S_\phi[\phi_{\rm u}] - S_\phi[\phi_{\rm u}']\}}, \tag{70}$$

and in terms of the initial and final amplitudes of the unstable mode, it can be cast as

$$J_0 \propto \exp\left\{ \frac{i\Omega}{2\sinh\Omega t} [(q^2 - q'^2 + q_0^2 - q_0'^2)\cosh\Omega t - 2(q_0 q - q_0' q')] \right\}.$$

The last expression implies that J_0 satisfies the following relations:

$$\phi^{\rm cl}_\Omega(\tau) J_0 = \left[\cosh\Omega(t-\tau) q + \frac{\sinh\Omega(t-\tau)}{\Omega} i\partial_q \right] J_0 \tag{71}$$

and

$$\phi^{\rm cl\prime}_\Omega(\tau) J_0 = \left[\cosh\Omega(t-\tau) q' - \frac{\sinh\Omega(t-\tau)}{\Omega} i\partial_{q'} \right] J_0. \tag{72}$$

Consequently, one can employ Eqs. (71) and (72) to obtain the master equation for $\varrho_{\rm red}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\varrho_{\rm red} &= -i\left[\frac{1}{2}(-\partial_q^2+\partial_{q'}^2)-\frac{\Omega^2}{2}(q^2-q'^2)\right]\varrho_{\rm red}\\ &-\frac{\kappa^2}{4}\int_0^t d\tau[{\rm Re}\langle\hat{Q}(\tau)\hat{Q}(0)\rangle\cosh\Omega\tau-\Omega{\rm Re}\langle\hat{Q}(\tau)\hat{P}(0)\rangle\sinh\Omega\tau](q-q')^2\varrho_{\rm red}\\ &-\frac{\kappa^2}{4}\int_0^t d\tau[{\rm Re}\langle\hat{P}(\tau)\hat{P}(0)\rangle\cosh\Omega\tau-\Omega^{-1}{\rm Re}\langle\hat{P}(\tau)\hat{Q}(0)\rangle\sinh\Omega\tau](-i\partial_q-i\partial_{q'})^2\varrho_{\rm red}\\ &+\frac{\kappa^2}{4}\int_0^t d\tau\{[\Omega^{-1}{\rm Re}\langle\hat{Q}(\tau)\hat{Q}(0)\rangle+\Omega{\rm Re}\langle\hat{P}(\tau)\hat{P}(0)\rangle]\sinh\Omega\tau\\ &-[{\rm Re}\langle\hat{P}(\tau)\hat{Q}(0)\rangle+{\rm Re}\langle\hat{Q}(\tau)\hat{P}(0)\rangle]\cosh\Omega\tau\}(q-q')(-i\partial_q-i\partial_{q'})\varrho_{\rm red}+\ldots.\end{aligned}\tag{73}$$

The temporal arguments of the factors inside the integrals above were rearranged using the fact that both $\hat{\varrho}_{\rm s}(0)$ and $\hat{\varrho}_\gamma(0)$ are stationary states. As for the Wigner function $W_{\rm red}$ associated with the state $\hat{\varrho}_{\rm red}$, one obtains from the master equation above that it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t W_{\rm red} &= \{H(q,p),W_{\rm red}\}+\frac{\kappa^2}{4}\int_0^t d\tau[{\rm Re}\langle\hat{Q}(\tau)\hat{Q}(0)\rangle\cosh\Omega\tau-\Omega{\rm Re}\langle\hat{Q}(\tau)\hat{P}(0)\rangle\sinh\Omega\tau]\partial_p^2 W_{\rm red}\\ &+\frac{\kappa^2}{4}\int_0^t d\tau[{\rm Re}\langle\hat{P}(\tau)\hat{P}(0)\rangle\cosh\Omega\tau-\Omega^{-1}{\rm Re}\langle\hat{P}(\tau)\hat{Q}(0)\rangle\sinh\Omega\tau]\partial_q^2 W_{\rm red}\\ &+\frac{\kappa^2}{4}\int_0^t d\tau\{[\Omega^{-1}{\rm Re}\langle\hat{Q}(\tau)\hat{Q}(0)\rangle+\Omega{\rm Re}\langle\hat{P}(\tau)\hat{P}(0)\rangle]\sinh\Omega\tau\\ &-[{\rm Re}\langle\hat{P}(\tau)\hat{Q}(0)\rangle+{\rm Re}\langle\hat{Q}(\tau)\hat{P}(0)\rangle]\cosh\Omega\tau\}\partial_p\partial_q W_{\rm red}+\ldots.\end{aligned}\tag{74}$$

In the master equation (73), we present only its free dynamics term and the members engendered by the interaction between the stable modes and the unstable one which are able to cause loss of quantum coherence, while the ellipsis encloses all the other terms. The terms written explicitly in the right-hand side of Eq. (73) tend to localize the state of the unstable mode both in amplitude and momentum representations. This resembles the problem of localization of particles [43] and the analysis of the quantum Brownian motion problem [44–46]. As for the terms originated by the direct interaction between the unstable mode and gravitons, they depend quadratically on the amplitude and momentum and, thus, are not expected to localize the state in these representations.

We note that, even though it was assumed that the background is curved by a compact spherical object, the analysis we carried out so far applies for more general static spacetimes.

C. Long-time regime

Our next task is to show that Eq. (73) does localize the state of the unstable mode in the amplitude and momentum representations. To do so, let us assume that initially the unstable mode is in the state $|0_{\rm u}\rangle$. We emphasize that this choice only serves to simplify the calculations. Due to the feebleness of the gravitational interaction, the evolution dictated by the master equation (73) is dominated by the free field evolution. As discussed in Sec. III, the free dynamics acts as a squeeze operator. Thus, in the long-time regime ($\Omega t\gg 1$) the initial state becomes highly squeezed. In this case Eq. (20) leads to

$$-i\partial_q\varrho_{\rm red}\approx\Omega q\varrho_{\rm red}\tag{75}$$

and

$$i\partial_{q'}\varrho_{\rm red}\approx\Omega q'\varrho_{\rm red}.\tag{76}$$

Then, by substituting Eqs. (75) and (76) into Eq. (73), the master equation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\varrho_{\rm red} &\approx -i\left[\frac{1}{2}(-\partial_q^2+\partial_{q'}^2)-\frac{\Omega^2}{2}(q^2-q'^2)\right]\varrho_{\rm red}\\ &-D(q-q')^2\varrho_{\rm red}+\ldots,\end{aligned}\tag{77}$$

with the diffusion coefficient $D>0$ given by

$$D\equiv\frac{\kappa^2}{4}\int_0^{+\infty}d\tau K(\tau)e^{-\Omega\tau}\tag{78}$$

and

$$K(\tau-\tau')\equiv{\rm Re}\langle[\hat{Q}(\tau)+\Omega\hat{P}(\tau)][\hat{Q}(\tau')+\Omega\hat{P}(\tau')]\rangle.\tag{79}$$

As for $W_{\rm red}$, one has the following equation:

$$\partial_t W_{\rm red}\approx\{H(q,p),W_{\rm red}\}+D\partial_p^2 W_{\rm red}+\ldots.\tag{80}$$

The second term in Eq. (77) ensures the localization of $\hat{\varrho}_{\rm red}$ in both amplitude and momentum representations, due to the relation between q and p set by Eqs. (75) and (76).

One can estimate the magnitude of the diffusion coefficient in the following manner. As mentioned earlier, we assume the existence of a gauge in which the graviton field admits the following decomposition:

$$\hat{\gamma}_{ab}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j \int \frac{d\vartheta(\alpha)}{\sqrt{2\omega_\alpha}} \hat{b}^{(j)}_\alpha e^{-i\omega_\alpha t} \varepsilon^{(j)}_{\alpha ab}(\mathbf{x}) + \text{H.c.} \quad (81)$$

Here, α denotes all the pertinent quantum numbers, j labels the graviton polarizations, $\hat{b}^{(j)}_\alpha$ denotes the graviton annihilation operator, $\varepsilon^{(j)}_{\alpha ab}$ is the spatial part of the mode, and $\omega_\alpha > 0$. As for the field operator $\hat{\phi}_{\rm s}$, one has

$$\hat{\phi}_{\rm s}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{l\mu} \int d\varpi \hat{a}_{\varpi l\mu} v^{(+)}_{\varpi l\mu}(t,\mathbf{x}) + \text{H.c.}, \quad (82)$$

with $v^{(+)}_{\varpi l\mu}$ given in Eq. (6). Thus, by substituting Eqs. (81) and (82) into the expressions for the operators $\hat{P}$ and $\hat{Q}$, Eqs. (67) and (68), with the aid of Eqs. (65) and (66), Eq. (79) can be cast as

$$\begin{aligned} K(\tau-\tau') &= \text{Re} \sum_{jl\mu} \int \frac{d\vartheta(\alpha)}{2\omega_\alpha} \frac{d\varpi}{2\varpi} \Bigg[e^{-i(\omega_\alpha+\varpi)(\tau-\tau')} \\ &\times \frac{e^{\beta\omega_\alpha}}{e^{\beta\omega_\alpha}-1} |{}_1\Gamma^{(j)}_{l\mu}(\alpha,\varpi)|^2 \\ &+ \frac{e^{i(\omega_\alpha-\varpi)(\tau-\tau')}}{e^{\beta\omega_\alpha}-1} |{}_2\Gamma^{(j)}_{l\mu}(\alpha,\varpi)|^2 \Bigg]. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

In Eq. (83), $\beta \equiv (k_{\rm B}T)^{-1}$,

$${}_1\Gamma^{(j)}_{l\mu}(\alpha,\varpi) \equiv \int_\Sigma d\Sigma f \varepsilon^{(j)}_{\alpha ab} [\Omega s^{(1)ab}_{\varpi l\mu} + s^{(2)ab}_{\varpi l\mu}] \quad (84)$$

and

$${}_2\Gamma^{(j)}_{l\mu}(\alpha,\varpi) \equiv \int_\Sigma d\Sigma f \varepsilon^{(j)*}_{\alpha ab} [\Omega s^{(1)ab}_{\varpi l\mu} + s^{(2)ab}_{\varpi l\mu}], \quad (85)$$

where $s^{(1)ab}_{\varpi l\mu}$ and $s^{(2)ab}_{\varpi l\mu}$ come from Eqs. (65) and (66), respectively, after we replace $\phi_{\rm s}$ by $v^{(+)}_{\varpi l\mu}$ and factorize $e^{-i\varpi\tau}$. The tensors $s^{(1)ab}_{\varpi l\mu}$ and $s^{(2)ab}_{\varpi l\mu}$ are weighted by the spatial part of the unstable mode, $F_\Omega = \psi_\Omega Y_{00}/r$, with ψ_Ω as a "bound solution" of Eq. (11) with width of order Ω^{-1}. As a result, ${}_1\Gamma^{(j)}_{l\mu}$ and ${}_2\Gamma^{(j)}_{l\mu}$ can be neglected for α and ϖ such that $\omega_\alpha, \varpi \gg \Omega$. Thus, the main contribution for the integrals in Eq. (83) comes from gravitons and stable modes with frequency up to order Ω. Consequently, one can define the high-temperature regime here as $k_{\rm B}T \gg \Omega$. In the spacetime of a neutron star, this regime is achieved at temperatures $T \sim 1\,\text{K}$, in which case the diffusion coefficient given in Eq. (78) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \Omega k_{\rm B} T \sum_{jl\mu} \int \frac{d\vartheta(\alpha)}{2\omega_\alpha^2} \frac{d\varpi}{2\varpi} \Bigg[\frac{|{}_1\Gamma^{(j)}_{l\mu}(\alpha,\varpi)|^2}{(\omega_\alpha+\varpi)^2+\Omega^2} \\ &+ \frac{|{}_2\Gamma^{(j)}_{l\mu}(\alpha,\varpi)|^2}{(\omega_\alpha-\varpi)^2+\Omega^2} \Bigg]. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

Then, by factorizing all the dimensional terms above, D can be simply written as

$$D = 8\pi\Delta \left(\frac{\ell_{\rm P}}{\mathsf{R}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k_{\rm B}T}{\Omega}\right) \Omega^2. \quad (87)$$

In Eq. (87), Δ is a dimensionless quantity whose precise value will not be relevant to estimate the decoherence time scale, although it is expected to be of order unity.

D. Estimation of the decoherence time scale and the width of the pointer states

Here, we are interested only in the decoherence effects produced by the localization term in Eq. (77), the master equation describing the unstable mode in its long-time regime. As already mentioned — see discussion below Eq. (74) — the other terms appearing in that master equation are essentially of two types: either they also produce decoherence but are not able to localize the state in the amplitude and momentum representations or they are responsible for damping effects. While the former only reinforce the consequences of the localization term in Eq. (77), the latter are not important when the coupling with the environment is weak. Therefore, in order to estimate the decoherence rate in the long-time regime, one can drop these terms and consider the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \varrho_{\rm red} &= -i \left[\frac{1}{2}(-\partial_q^2 + \partial_{q'}^2) - \frac{\Omega^2}{2}(q^2 - q'^2) \right] \varrho_{\rm red} \\ &- D(q-q')^2 \varrho_{\rm red} \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

and

$$\partial_t W_{\rm red} = \{H(q,p), W_{\rm red}\} + D\partial_p^2 W_{\rm red}, \quad (89)$$

with $H(q,p)$ given in Eq. (26).

A possible route to estimate the decoherence time scale is to investigate the temporal behavior of the Wigner function sign. As mentioned earlier, the Wigner function is not positive in general. Nevertheless, decoherence should suppress any negative region in the course of time. Indeed, it was shown in Ref. [47] that for a nonrelativistic free quantum particle, the presence of a localization term in position in the master equation makes the corresponding Wigner function positive after a certain time $t_{\rm d}$, regardless of the initial state of the system. This result was extended in Ref. [48] for systems defined by

quadratic Hamiltonians and with more general couplings with the environment.

Following Refs. [47, 48], the time $t_{\rm d}$ after which the Wigner function $W_{\rm red}$ becomes positive is the solution of the equation

$$\det[\mathbf{M}(-t_{\rm d})] = \frac{1}{4}, \tag{90}$$

where, in the case of our Eq. (89),

$$\det[\mathbf{M}(-t)] = \frac{D^2}{\Omega^4}\left[\frac{\cosh 2\Omega t - 1}{2} - (\Omega t)^2\right]. \tag{91}$$

The feebleness of the gravitational interaction implies in our case that $D \ll \Omega^2$ and, thus, from Eqs. (90) and (91) one obtains

$$\frac{2D^2}{\Omega^4}\cosh 2\Omega t_{\rm d} \approx 1.$$

Then, by using Eq. (87), one concludes that

$$t_{\rm d} \sim \frac{1}{\Omega}\ln\left[\frac{1}{8\pi\Delta}\left(\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\ell_{\rm P}}\right)^2\frac{\Omega}{k_{\rm B}T}\right], \tag{92}$$

which gives us an estimate for the decoherence time scale. The logarithm in Eq. (92) shows that the decoherence process depends weakly on the magnitude of the interaction with the environment, codified in the diffusion coefficient — see Eq. (87). This logarithmic dependence results from the combination of the squeezing caused by the time evolution and the weak coupling between the unstable mode and its environment. In particular, $t_{\rm d}$ does not depend much on the value of Δ. For the case of a neutron star ($\mathsf{R} \sim 10\,{\rm km}$), if one assumes $\Delta \sim 1$ and a cosmic gravitational wave background with temperature $T \sim 1\,{\rm K}$, then $t_{\rm d} \sim 160 \times \Omega^{-1} \sim 160 \times \mathsf{R}$, which is of the order of the backreaction time scale $t_{\rm br} \sim 10^{-3}\,{\rm s}$, when the vacuum and ordinary star energy densities rival each other. We note that $\Omega t_{\rm d} \gg 1$, complying with the long-time approximation.

The investigation we have undertaken so far suggests that by the time backreaction becomes important, both the appearance of classical correlations and decoherence have been effective to turn the unstable sector of the initial vacuum state into a classically correlated statistical mixture of localized states in the amplitude and momentum representations. The form of these pointer states depends on both the internal dynamics of the open quantum system and its interaction with the environment, and it has been derived in just a few examples [49, 50]. However, within the simplifications made in this section, it is possible to estimate the width of these pointer states. To do so, we shall again make use of the variables u and v defined in Eq. (27) to cast Eq. (89) as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t W_{\rm red} &= \Omega(u\partial_u - v\partial_v)W_{\rm red} \\ &\quad + \frac{D}{4}(\partial_u^2 + \partial_v^2 + 2\partial_{uv})W_{\rm red}.\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

By assuming the weak-coupling limit, the state is squeezed along the u direction and stretched along the v direction, as concluded in Sec. III for free fields. Consequently, in the long-time regime, the u and v derivatives grow and fade exponentially, respectively, and Eq. (93) can be cast as

$$\partial_t W_{\rm red} = \Omega(u\partial_u - v\partial_v)W_{\rm red} + \Omega\sigma^2\partial_u^2 W_{\rm red}, \tag{94}$$

with $\sigma^2 \equiv D/4\Omega$.

Following the analysis of Ref. [51], a general solution of Eq. (94) can be expanded as

$$W_{\rm red} = \sum_{\substack{m\geq 0\\ n\geq 1}}^{+\infty} a_{mn} e^{-\Omega(m+n)t} v^m e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} H_{n-1}\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right),$$

where $H_n(x)$ denotes the nth Hermite polynomial. In the long-time regime, the sum above is dominated by the term with $m = 0$ and $n = 1$ and is given approximately by

$$W_{\rm red}(t,q,p) \approx \frac{e^{-\Omega t}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du' \tilde{W}_{\rm red}(0,u',ve^{-\Omega t}), \tag{95}$$

with $\tilde{W}_{\rm red}(t,u,v) \equiv W_{\rm red}[t,(v-u)/\Omega, v+u]$. Hence, when $\Omega t \gg 1$ the effect of decoherence on the Wigner function in the weak-coupling limit is to make $W_{\rm red}$ approach a Gaussian in the u direction with width σ. This results from the competition between the free evolution, which tends to squeeze the state in the u direction, and the diffusive term in Eq. (94). Then, the width of the density matrix in the q representation can be obtained from Eq. (95) if we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_{\rm red}(t,q,q') &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp e^{ip(q-q')} W_{\rm red}[t,(q+q')/2,p] \\ &\approx 2e^{-2\sigma^2(q-q')^2 - i\Omega(q^2-q'^2)/2} e^{-\Omega t} \\ &\quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du' \tilde{W}_{\rm red}(0,u',0).\end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

Thus, asymptotically, the initially pure density matrix becomes a statistical mixture of localized states with width $(2\sigma)^{-1} = \sqrt{\Omega/D}$. By using Eq. (87) with $\Delta \sim 1$, we obtain

$$(2\sigma)^{-1} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{\rm B}T}}\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\ell_{\rm P}} \tag{97}$$

in the case of our model.

In the classical regime, one would like to regard each pointer state peaked at some amplitude and conjugate momentum as a point in the phase space. This is possible only if the background spacetime is insensitive to quantum fluctuations present in these states, i.e., if the pointer states are narrow enough. We can estimate how narrow these states are through the energy-momentum tensor operator associated with the unstable mode. Thus, let

us consider the contribution from the quantum fluctuations to the expectation value of this operator in some pointer state. Taking, for instance, the energy density, the contribution from a localized state with width σ is $\rho_{\rm qf} \sim \sigma^{-2}\Omega^2/\mathsf{R}^3$. Then, the ratio between this contribution and the energy density ρ of the relativistic star curving the background is

$$\frac{\rho_{\rm qf}}{\rho} \sim \frac{\Omega}{k_{\rm B}T}.$$

Assuming $T \sim 1\,\mathrm{K}$ and $\mathsf{R} \sim 10^4\,\mathrm{m}$ — the typical radius of a neutron star — the ratio above is of order 10^{-7}. This last result shows that the pointer states of the unstable mode are narrow enough in the long-time regime to be approximated by classical states.

In conclusion, by the time backreaction becomes ineluctable, the (unstable sector of the) initially pure vacuum state has evolved into a statistical mixture of localized states in amplitude and momentum representations. The exact form of these states and of the statistical weights can be calculated, in principle, from Eq. (73). Since the pointer states are narrow enough, one can regard these weights as a statistical distribution over the unstable mode classical phase space, providing the initial conditions for the classical general-relativistic equations at the onset of the backreaction.

We remark that the calculations assuming a graviton environment with temperature $T = 0$ lead to the same master equation as in the case with $T \neq 0$, namely, Eq. (73). For a graviton environment in its vacuum state, we have found that the decoherence time scale is of the same order as in Eq. (92), assuming $T \sim 1\,\mathrm{K}$. As for the width of the pointer states, however, we have obtained $\rho_{\rm qf}/\rho \sim 1$. Hence, even though decoherence diagonalizes the density matrix when $T = 0$, it is not sufficiently effective to produce pointer states which are narrow enough to be well approximated by points in the unstable-mode classical phase space.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS

In conclusion, after the scalar field instability is triggered by the background spacetime, the interaction of the field with gravity forces its quantum fluctuations to behave classically in a time scale of the same order of the one set by backreaction. During this time, the appearance of classical correlations and decoherence are effective enough to turn the vacuum state of the quantum field into a classically correlated statistical mixture of localized states in the amplitude and momentum representations of the unstable mode.

Then, we have argued how the gravity-induced vacuum dominance effect [1, 2] gives rise to classical initial conditions for the general-relativistic equations. This leads us to Ref. [52], where the authors discussed the possible final states for the instability in the spacetime of a relativistic star based on a classical analysis. There it was shown that, at least for negative values of the nonminimally coupling parameter ξ, the system can be stabilized by the presence of a nonnull, static scalar field profile. As for positive values of this parameter, the final state remains an open issue. The appearance of a nontrivial classical field in the spacetime of dense enough relativistic stars is analogous to the spontaneous magnetization of ferromagnets below the Curie temperature and is known in the literature as "spontaneous scalarization" [53, 54]. Typically, this phenomenon changes the gravitational mass of the star by a few percent and may have important consequences for astrophysics [55]. Although the previous scalarization analyses do not consider quantum mechanics to fix the initial conditions, the fact that their results seem to be robust with respect to the initial conditions choice suggests that their conclusions should be preserved even when the instability is triggered by quantum fluctuations. For more on the relation between the instability and the scalarization process, see, e.g., Refs. [56–59].

The interest in scalar fields nonminimally coupled to gravity relies on the fact that most matter in the Universe cannot be accommodated within the standard model of particle physics. Nonminimally coupled scalar fields have not been ruled out by either astrophysical or cosmological observations so far, even though it is possible to put constraints on the values of the nonminimally coupling parameter — see, e.g., Ref. [60].

Acknowledgments

A. L. was partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) under Grant No. 610020/2009-9 and São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) under Grant No. 08/57856-6 through Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia de Informação Quântica (INCT- IQ). W. L. was supported by FAPESP under Grant No. 2012/00737-0. G. M. and D. V. acknowledge partial support from FAPESP under Grants No. 2007/55449-1 and No. 2013/12165-4, respectively. G. M. also acknowledges CNPq for partial support.

Appendix A: Expressions for the tensors U_{abcd}, V_{abcde}, and W_{abcdef}

In this appendix we present the expressions for the tensors U_{abcd}, V_{abcde}, and W_{abcdef} appearing in Sec. IV. By defining the tensor $I_{abcd} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(g_{ac}g_{bd} + g_{ad}g_{bc})$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{abcd} \equiv & -(1-2\xi)(g_{ad}\nabla_b\phi\nabla_c\phi + g_{bc}\nabla_a\phi\nabla_d\phi) + \frac{1-4\xi}{2}(g_{ab}\nabla_c\phi\nabla_d\phi + g_{cd}\nabla_a\phi\nabla_b\phi) \\
& + \frac{1-4\xi}{2}\left(I_{abcd} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}g_{cd}\right)\nabla_e\phi\nabla^e\phi + \left(\frac{1}{2}I_{abcd} - \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}g_{cd}\right)(m^2 + \xi R)\phi^2 \\
& - \xi\left[(2I_{abcd} - g_{ab}g_{cd})\phi\nabla_e\nabla^e\phi + \left(g_{ad}R_{bc} + g_{bc}R_{ad} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R_{cd} - \frac{1}{2}g_{cd}R_{ab}\right)\right. \\
& \left. + 2(g_{ab}\phi\nabla_c\nabla_d\phi + g_{cd}\phi\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi - g_{ad}\phi\nabla_b\nabla_c\phi - g_{bc}\phi\nabla_a\nabla_d\phi)\right], \qquad \text{(A1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
V_{abcde} \equiv -g_{ab}(g_{cd}\phi\nabla_e\phi + g_{ce}\phi\nabla_d\phi), \qquad \text{(A2)}
$$

and

$$
W_{abcdef} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[g_{ad}I_{bcef} + 2g_{ef}I_{adbc} - g_{ad}g_{bc}g_{ef} - \frac{1}{2}(g_{ae}g_{bd}g_{cf} + g_{ae}g_{cd}g_{bf} + g_{af}g_{bd}g_{ce} + g_{af}g_{cd}g_{be})\right]\phi^2. \qquad \text{(A3)}
$$

[1] W. C. C. Lima and D. A. T. Vanzella, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 161102 (2010).
[2] W. C. C. Lima, G. E. A. Matsas, and D. A. T. Vanzella, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 151102 (2010).
[3] W. C. C. Lima, R. F. P. Mendes, G. E. A. Matsas, and D. A. T. Vanzella, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 104039 (2013).
[4] R. F. P. Mendes, G. E. A. Matsas, and D. A. T. Vanzella, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 044053 (2014).
[5] A. G. S. Landulfo, W. C. C. Lima, G. E. A. Matsas, and D. A. T. Vanzella, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 104025 (2012).
[6] D. Giulini, E. Joos, C. Kiefer, J. Kupsch, I.-O. Stamatescu, and H. D. Zeh, *Decoherence and the Appearance of a Classical World in Quantum Theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996).
[7] W. H. Zurek, Phys. Today **44**, 36 (1991).
[8] W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 1516 (1981); Phys. Rev. D **26**, 1862 (1982).
[9] The reader may note the distinct convention that we have adopted here for the phase difference between the exponentials in Eq. (10) with respect to the one in Refs. [1, 2]. As noted in Ref. [5], this phase difference can take any value in the interval $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and different choices lead to unitarily equivalent representations for the quantum field. The phase difference adopted in this paper serves to simplify the form of the Hamiltonian operator discussed in Sec. III and to adhere to standard literature conventions on squeezed states.
[10] W. C. C. Lima, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 124005 (2013).
[11] B. Schroer and J. A. Swieca, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 2938 (1970).
[12] W.-M. Zhang, D. H. Feng, and R. Gilmore, Rev. Mod. Phys. **62**, 867 (1990).
[13] V. V. Dodonov, J. Opt. B **4**, R1 (2002).
[14] E. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **40**, 749 (1932).
[15] A. H. Guth and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 1899 (1985).
[16] A. Albrecht, P. Ferreira, M. Joyce, and T. Prokopec, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 4807 (1994).
[17] D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, Classical Quantum Gravity **13**, 377 (1996).
[18] A. D. Linde, *Inflation and Quantum Cosmology* (Academic, New York, 1990).
[19] L. Parker and D. J. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime: Quantized Fields and Gravity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2009).
[20] C. Kiefer, D. Polarski, and A. A. Starobinsky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **07**, 455 (1998).
[21] C. Kiefer, J. Lesgourgues, D. Polarski, and A. A. Starobinsky, Classical Quantum Gravity **15**, L67 (1998).
[22] F. C. Lombardo and D. L. Nacir, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 063506 (2005).
[23] C. Kiefer, I. Lohmar, D. Polarski, and A. A. Starobinsky, Classical Quantum Gravity **24**, 1699 (2007).
[24] P. Martineau, Classical Quantum Gravity **24**, 5817 (2007).
[25] C. Kiefer and D. Polarski, Adv. Sci. Lett. **2**, 164 (2009).
[26] J. F. Donoghue, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 3874 (1994).
[27] D. Arteaga, R. Parentani, and E. Verdaguer, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 044019 (2004).
[28] C. Kiefer, *Quantum Gravity* (Oxford University, New York, 2007).
[29] We note that the second term in Eq. (36) can be absorbed into the first one if one uses the de Donder gauge. The gauge choice in this paper is discussed below Eq. (40).
[30] K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmdit, Living Rev. Relativity **2**, 2 (1999).
[31] F. Lombardo and F. D. Mazzitelli, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 2001 (1996).
[32] F. C. Lombardo, F. D. Mazzitelli, and D. Monteoliva, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 045016 (2000).
[33] F. Lombardo, F. D. Mazzitelli, and R. J. Rivers, Phys. Lett. B **523**, 317 (2001).
[34] R. J. Rivers and F. Lombardo, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **44**, 1855 (2005).
[35] R. P. Feynman and F. L. Vernon, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) **24**, 118 (1963).

[36] L. D. Romero and J. P. Paz, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 4070 (1997).
[37] J. P. Paz and W. H. Zurek, in *Coherent Matter Waves*, Les Houches Session LXXII, EDP Sciences, edited by R. Kaiser, C. Westbrook, and F. David, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001), p. 533.
[38] The assumption of a graviton thermal bath is motivated by the cosmic gravitational wave background, which is believed to be present in our Universe. A thermal gravitational environment was assumed, for instance, in Ref. [39].
[39] M. P. Blencowe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 021302 (2013).
[40] A. Das, *Finite Temperature Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997).
[41] E. A. Calzetta and B. L. Hu, *Nonequilibrium Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2008).
[42] C. Anastopoulos and B. L. Hu, Classical Quantum Gravity **30**, 165007 (2013).
[43] E. Joos and H. D. Zeh, Z. Phys. B **59**, 223 (1985).
[44] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, Physica (Amsterdan) **121A**, 587 (1983).
[45] W. G. Unruh and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 1071 (1989).
[46] B. L. Hu, J. P. Paz, and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2843 (1992); Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1576 (1993).
[47] L. Diósi and C. Kiefer, J. Phys. A **35**, 2675 (2002).
[48] O. Brodier and A. M. Ozorio de Almeida, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 016204 (2004).
[49] W. H. Zurek, S. Habib, and J. P. Paz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1187 (1994).
[50] L. Diósi and C. Kiefer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3552 (2000).
[51] W. H. Zurek and J. P. Paz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2508 (1994).
[52] P. Pani, V. Cardoso, E. Berti, J. Read, and M. Salgado, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 081501 (2011).
[53] T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farèse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2220 (1993).
[54] T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farèse, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 1474 (1996).
[55] E. Berti, Braz. J. Phys. **43**, 341 (2013).
[56] R. F. P. Mendes, G. E. A. Matsas, and D. A. T. Vanzella, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 047503 (2014).
[57] T. Harada, Prog. Theor. Phys. **98**, 359 (1997).
[58] J. Novak, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 064019 (1998).
[59] M. Ruiz, J. C. Degollado, M. Alcubierre, D. Núñes, and M. Salgado, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 104044 (2012).
[60] P. C. C. Freire, N. Wex, G. Esposito-Farèse, J. P. W. Verbiest, M. Bailes, B. A. Jacoby, M. Kramer, I. H. Stairs, J. Antoniadis, and G. H. Janssen, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **423**, 3328 (2012).